**North Carolina Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant**

**FY 2020 Substance Misuse Prevention Needs Assessment and Strategic Planning Template**

This template is intended to be used for the FY 2020 Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant prevention needs assessment. It is designed as a three step process.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Step 1: Identify high concern substances.**  | **Step 2: Identify high priority intervening variables.**  | **Step 3: Identify changeable high priority intervening variables.**  |
| * High concern substances are those whose consumption or consequence data shows that they are prevalent, increasing, compare unfavorably to the state or another similar community, and/or that have severe consequences in your community.
* Overall problem ranks reflect the perception of the community, taking into consideration the prevalence of the problem, trends, comparisons to the state or similar other communities, and severity of the problem. They are not an average of the prevalence, trends, comparisons, and severity of a problem, as different communities may define and weight criteria differently.
* **Step 1 must be completed for alcohol, tobacco, marijuana, prescription medications, and other substances with at least one stakeholder outside of the prevention provider agency.**
 | * High priority intervening variables are those whose data shows that they are prevalent, increasing, compare unfavorably to the state or another similar community, have an impact on high concern substances, and/or that are associated with other problems.
* Overall intervening variable ranks reflect the perception of the community, taking into consideration the prevalence of the problem, trends, comparisons to the state or similar other communities, impact on the high concern substance, and association with other problems. They are not an average of the prevalence, trends, comparisons, impact on high concern substances, and association with other problems, as different communities may define and weight criteria differently.
* **Step 2 must be completed for high or very high concern substances with at least one stakeholder outside of the prevention provider agency.**
 | * Changeable high priority intervening variables are those with the human, organizational, and fiscal capacity, as well as community readiness to address them.
* Overall changeability ranks reflect the human, organizational, and fiscal capacity, as well as the community readiness to address high priority intervening variables. Overall changeability ranks are not an average of the human, organizational, and fiscal capacity, and community readiness, as different communities may define and weight criteria differently.
* **Step 3 must be completed for high or very high priority intervening variables with at least one stakeholder outside of the prevention provider agency.**
 |
| Prevention provider agencies may choose to enter all consumption and consequence, intervening variable, and changeability **data** before working with community stakeholders **OR** to enter **data** and work with community stakeholders in step order. However, it recommended that prevention provider agencies determine **ranks** in step order, as it is required that changeable high priority intervening variables reflect high or very high concern substances, as well as high or very high priority intervening variables.  |

**Template completion**

The North Carolina substance misuse prevention needs assessment and strategic planning template is intended to capture one substance per worksheet set. It is intended to be flexible to capture state required data sources only, or to include a mix of state required data sources and local data sources, as well as to capture quantitative or qualitative data.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Step 1: Identify high concern substances.**  | **Step 2: Identify high priority intervening variables.**  | **Step 3: Identify changeable high priority intervening variables.**  |
| * Captures consumption and consequence data, and then problem ranks.
* Select the state data source and population OR enter the local data source and population. When it is the only data source available, LME-MCO is considered local.
* Enter state required local prevalence, trend, and disparity data. State required data is typed in black text. Optional data is typed in gray text. Space is available to add local consumption and consequence measures. You may delete unused optional measures and added measures, or copy and paste additional measures as needed. State prevalence, trends, and disparities are included if available.
* None available equals data does not exist (e.g., the 2017 Youth Prevention Survey was conducted once, as such its trend data is specified as none available).
* Not available equals data is not available from this data source, but may exist (e.g., the Alcohol and the Public’s health dashboard does not include alcohol related emergency department trends, but you may be able to get trend data from your local health department, as such its trend data is specified as not available if you do not have direct access to NC DETECT data).
* None equals no disparities (e.g., if the 2017 Youth Prevention Surveys shows no significant relationships between substance use and Hispanic, Black or African American, Race other than White or Black, LBGQ, or Female its disparity data is specified as none).
* Select problem ranks considering all required consumption and consequence measures. Consider optional measures as needed. Rank the criteria where there is data available to consider. Not all criteria will be available for all substances.
* Enter stakeholders that collaborated to rank the problem.
* Write notes as needed to understand your decision making process.
 | * Captures and ranks intervening variable data for high or very high concern substances.
* Select the state data source and population OR enter the local data source and population. When it is the only data source available, LME-MCO is considered local.
* Enter state required local prevalence and trend data. All specified data is required. Space is available to include additional local intervening variable data. You may delete unused added measures, or copy and paste additional measures as needed. State prevalence and trends are included if available.
* None available equals data does not exist (e.g., the 2017 Youth Prevention Survey was conducted once, as such its trend data is specified as none available).
* Not available equals data is not available from this data source, but may exist (e.g., the Alcohol and the Public’s health dashboard does not include outlet density trends, but you may be able to get trend data, as such its trend data is specified as not available).
* Select intervening variable ranks considering state required intervening variable data, adding local intervening variable data when available. Rank the criteria where there is data available to consider. Not all criteria will be available for all substances.
* Enter stakeholders that collaborated to rank each intervening variable.
* Write notes as needed to understand your decision making process.
 | * Captures and ranks capacity and readiness data for high or very high priority intervening variables, and then identifies potential strategies to address high priority, changeable intervening variables.
* For each high or very high priority intervening variable describe the human, organizational, and fiscal capacity, as well as the community readiness to address them. Describe all criteria for each high or very high priority intervening variable.
* Select intervening variable changeability ranks considering the human, organizational, and fiscal capacity, as well the community readiness to address them. Rank all changeability ranks for each high or very high priority intervening variable.
* Enter stakeholders that collaborated to rank problems.
* Write notes as needed to understand your decision making process.
* Preliminarily identify strategies that target (1) changeable, (2) high or very high priority intervening variables for your (3) high and very high concern substances. This is not a final list of FY 2021 strategies, but should reflect all strategy options.
 |

| **FY 2020 Substance Abuse Prevention Assessment and Planning** |
| --- |
| **Provider agency:** Tap to enter. | **Community:** Tap to enter. | **Substance: Alcohol** |
| **Step 1: Identify high concern substances** | **Step 2: Identify high priority intervening variables** | **Step 3: Identify changeable high priority intervening variables** |
| **Problem**  |  | **Problem rank** | **Intervening variable** | **Intervening variable rank** | **Changeability** | **Changeability rank** | **Potential Strategies** |
| **CONSUMPTION****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** 30 day alcoholLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 16.2% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: None30 day binge drinking: Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 4.7%Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: None available Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: 5.4% Males vs 4.0% femalesLifetime alcoholLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 35.8% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: 51.4% LGBQ vs 33.1% heterosexual or straight**Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Excessive DrinkingLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 17% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: Not availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: None**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter.**CONSEQUENCES****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** 2017 Alcohol ED visit rateLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 322/100,00Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Not availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2017 Alcohol related deathsLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 38.86/100,000 Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Increasing, 28.73/100,000 in 2010 vs 38.86/100,000 in 2017County disparities: Not availableState disparities: Not available 2017 Alcohol related deaths <21Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 1,868Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: Not availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available5 Year fatal crashes that were alcohol relatedLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 28%Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: Not availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Severity: Select.Overall:select.Stakeholders: Tap to enter.Notes:Tap to enter. | **SOCIAL ACCESS** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Received alcohol consumed in past 30 days from someone for free Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 65.9% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableGave someone else money to buy alcohol consumed in past 30 daysLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 10.1% Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: None availableStole alcohol consumed in past 30 days from a store or another personLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 3.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableEasy or sort of easy to access alcoholLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 44.9% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **RETAIL ACCESS** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Bought alcohol consumed in past 30 days in a storeLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 5.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableStole alcohol consumed in past 30 days from a store or another personLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 3.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableBought alcohol consumed in past 30 days in a restaurant, bar, or clubLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 1.7% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableBought alcohol consumed in past 30 days at a public eventLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 0.8% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableEasy or sort of easy to access alcoholLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 44.9% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** 2017 Alcohol Outlet RateLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 1.8/1,000Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Not available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **HOME ALCOHOL STORAGE** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** No alcohol in the houseLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 45.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableFridgeLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 34.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableUnlocked cabinet or drawer, easy to reachLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 14.2 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableUnlocked cabinet or drawer, hard to reachLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 9.9Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableKitchen counterLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 7.0 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocked cabinet, drawer, or safeLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 6.4 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PARENTAL DISAPPROVAL OF ALCOHOL USE** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Parents think it is wrong or somewhat wrong for child to drink alcoholLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 92.5% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PERCEIVED RISK OF ALCOHOL USE** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Moderate to great perceived risk of 1-2 alcoholic drinks dailyLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 81.7% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PERCEIVED LIKELIHOOD OF BEING CAUGHT****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Parents would catch child drinking alcohol (strongly agree or agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 75.0% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availablePolice would catch child drinking alcohol (strongly agree or agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 40.9% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PREVENTION ACTIVITY PARTICIPATION****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** During the past year participated in an underage drinking or alcohol prevention activity in school or communityLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 24.4% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **ENTER ADDITIONAL INTERVENING VARIABLES****Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |

| **FY 2020 Substance Abuse Prevention Assessment and Planning** |
| --- |
| **Provider agency:** Tap to enter. | **Community:** Tap to enter. | **Substance: Tobacco** |
| **Step 1: Identify high concern substances** | **Step 2: Identify high priority intervening variables** | **Step 3: Identify changeable high priority intervening variables** |
| **Problem**  |  | **Problem rank** | **Intervening variable** | **Intervening variable rank** | **Changeability** | **Changeability rank** | **Potential Strategies** |
| **CONSUMPTION****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** 30 day tobaccoLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 9.8% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: None30 day vapor productsLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 9.9% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: NoneLifetime tobaccoLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 14.9% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: 22.4% LGBQ vs 14.0 % Heterosexual or straight Lifetime vapor productsLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 17.5% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: 32.1% LGBQ vs 15.3 % Heterosexual or straight**Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Adult Smoking Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 18% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: Not availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: None**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter.**CONSEQUENCES****Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Severity: Select.Overall:select.Stakeholders: Tap to enter.Notes:Tap to enter. | **SOCIAL ACCESS** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Received tobacco consumed in past 30 days from someone for free Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 44.9% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableGave someone else money to buy tobacco consumed in past 30 daysLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 14.8% Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: None availableStole tobacco consumed in past 30 days from a store or another personLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 1.8% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableReceived vapor products consumed in past 30 days from someone for free Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 44.8% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableGave someone else money to buy vapor products consumed in past 30 daysLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 11.1% Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: None availableStole vapor products consumed in past 30 days from a store or another personLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 1.6% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **RETAIL ACCESS** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Bought tobacco consumed in past 30 days in a storeLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 32.0% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableStole tobacco consumed in past 30 days from a store or another personLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 1.8% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableBought vapor products consumed in past 30 days in a vapor shopLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 21.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableBought vapor products consumed in past 30 days in a store that was not a vapor shopLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 7.5% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableOrdered vapor products consumed in past 30 days from the internetLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 7.3% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableStole vapor products consumed in past 30 days from a store or another personLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 1.6% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Youth were able to purchased tobacco productsLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 15.4% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: increasing, 12.9% FFY 2014 to 15.4% FFY 2019**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter.  | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| ADVERTISING AND PROMOTION**Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** FDA tobacco advertising and labeling violationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 15.4% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: decreasing, 2.2% FFY 2014 to 0.8% FFY 2019**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter.  | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **ENTER ADDITIONAL INTERVENING VARIABLES****Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |

| **FY 2020 Substance Abuse Prevention Assessment and Planning** |
| --- |
| **Provider agency:** Tap to enter. | **Community:** Tap to enter. | **Substance: Marijuana** |
| **Step 1: Identify high concern substances** | **Step 2: Identify high priority intervening variables** | **Step 3: Identify changeable high priority intervening variables** |
| **Problem**  |  | **Problem rank** | **Intervening variable** | **Intervening variable rank** | **Changeability** | **Changeability rank** | **Potential Strategies** |
| **CONSUMPTION****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** 30 day marijuana useLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 8.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: 18.2% LGBQ vs 6.6% heterosexual or straightLifetime marijuana useLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 15.3%% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: 30.0% LGBQ vs 13.3% heterosexual or straight**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter.**CONSEQUENCES****Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Severity: Select.Overall:select.Stakeholders: Tap to enter.Notes:Tap to enter. | **ACCESS** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Easy or sort of easy to access marijuanaLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 43.6% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PARENTAL DISAPPROVAL OF MARIJUANA USE** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Parents think it is wrong or somewhat wrong for child to use marijuanaLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 92.4% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PERCEIVED RISK OF MARIJUNA USE** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Moderate to great perceived risk of weekly marijuana useLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 65.4% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PERCEIVED LIKELIHOOD OF BEING CAUGHT****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Parents would catch child using marijuana (strongly agree or agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 77.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availablePolice would catch child using marijuana (strongly agree or agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 39.2% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **ENTER ADDITIONAL INTERVENING VARIABLES****Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |

| **FY 2020 Substance Abuse Prevention Assessment and Planning** |
| --- |
| **Provider agency:** Tap to enter. | **Community:** Tap to enter. | **Substance: Prescription medications** |
| **Step 1: Identify high concern substances** | **Step 2: Identify high priority intervening variables** | **Step 3: Identify changeable high priority intervening variables** |
| **Problem**  |  | **Problem rank** | **Intervening variable** | **Intervening variable rank** | **Changeability** | **Changeability rank** | **Potential Strategies** |
| **CONSUMPTION****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** 30 day prescription drugs misuseLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 3.7% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: 8.2% LGBQ vs 3.0% heterosexual or straightLifetime prescription drug misuseLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 7.0% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: 17.4% LGBQ vs 5.4% heterosexual or straight; 9.7% female vs 4.2% male**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter.**CONSEQUENCES****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** 2018 commonly prescribed opioid overdose ED visit/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 1,531/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Peaked in 2014, 1,815/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 1,531/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 psychostimulant overdose ED visit/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 624/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Increasing, 417/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 624/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 Benzodiazepine overdose ED visit/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 1,993/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Peaked in 2016, 1,131/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 1,993/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 Antiepileptic overdose ED visit/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 882/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Peaked in 2017, 843,10,146,788 – CY 2016 to 882/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 commonly prescribed opioid overdose deaths/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 503/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Peaked in 2016, 524/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 503/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 psychostimulant overdose deaths/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 267/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Increasing, 30/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 267/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 Benzodiazepine overdose deaths/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 497/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Peaked in 2017, 125/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 497/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 Antiepileptic overdose deaths/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 305/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Increasing, 239,10,146,788 – CY 2016 to 305/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Severity: Select.Overall:select.Stakeholders: Tap to enter.Notes:Tap to enter. | **ACCESS** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Received prescription drugs misused in past 30 days from a friend or relative for free Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 32.5% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableReceived prescription drugs misused in past 30 days from own prescription Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 27.4% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableStole prescription drugs misused in past 30 days from a friend or relativeLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 13.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableBought prescription drugs misused in past 30 days from a friend or relativeLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence:9.6% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableBought prescription drugs misused in past 30 days from a drug dealer or strangerLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 3.3% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableEasy or sort of easy to access prescriptions drugsLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 34.0% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** 2018 number of Opioid pills dispensed/PopulationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 445,002,000/10,383,620 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: Decreasing, 472,485,000/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 445,002,000/10,383,620 - CY 20182018 % 90+ MME prescriptionsLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 20.7%Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: Decreasing, 35.6% – CY 2013 to 20.7% - CY 20182018 Percent of prescription days any patient had at least one opioid AND at least one benzodiazepine prescription on the same dayLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 21.0%Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: Decreasing, 34.4Q% – CY 2013 to 21.0% - CY 20182018 Average rate of multiple provider events for prescription opioids per 100,000 populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 48.9/100,000 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: Decreasing, 118.4/100,000 – CY 2013 to 48.9/100,000 - CY 2018**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PRESCRIPTION STORAGE** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** No prescription drugs in the houseLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 14.7% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocked cabinet, drawer, or safeLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 19.6 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableUnlocked cabinet or drawer, hard to reachLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 19.3Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableUnlocked cabinet or drawer, easy to reachLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 32.0 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableKitchen or bathroom counterLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 15.4 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availablePurse or duffle bagLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 10.4 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableNightstandLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 9.7 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PARENTAL DISAPPROVAL OF PRESCRIPTION DRUG MISUSE** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Parents think it is wrong or somewhat wrong for child to misuse prescription drugsLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 98.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PERCEIVED RISK OF PRESCRIPTION MEDICATION MISUSE** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Moderate to great perceived risk of using prescription drugs in a way a doctor did not tell them to be usedLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 93.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PERCEIVED LIKELIHOOD OF BEING CAUGHT****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Parents would catch child using prescription drugs in a way a doctor did not direct you to use them (strongly agree or agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 77.4% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availablePolice would catch child prescription drugs in a way a doctor did not direct you to use them (strongly agree or agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 36.7% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PERCEIVED ACCEPTABLE USE****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** It’s okay to use someone else’s prescription medication to deal with stress (strongly agree or agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 9.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableIt’s okay to use someone else’s prescription medication to help you improve your grade, study, or do better on a test (strongly agree or agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 6.9% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableIt’s okay to use someone else’s prescription medication to lose weight (strongly agree or agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 4.9% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableIt’s okay to use someone else’s prescription medication to improve sports performance (strongly agree or agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 3.7% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableIt’s okay to use someone else’s prescription medication to have more fun at a party (strongly agree or agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 3.5% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PREVENTION ACTIVITY PARTICIPATION****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** During the past year participated in an prescription drug misuse prevention activity in school or communityLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 25.3% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **ENTER ADDITIONAL INTERVENING VARIABLES****Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |

| **FY 2020 Substance Abuse Prevention Assessment and Planning** |
| --- |
| **Provider agency:** Tap to enter. | **Community:** Tap to enter. | **Substance: Other substances** |
| **Step 1: Identify high concern substances** | **Step 2: Identify high priority intervening variables** | **Step 3: Identify changeable high priority intervening variables** |
| **Problem**  |  | **Problem rank** | **Intervening variable** | **Intervening variable rank** | **Changeability** | **Changeability rank** | **Potential Strategies** |
| **CONSUMPTION****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Past year heroinLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 0.3% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not availablePast year cocaine: Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 1.0%Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: None available Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: NoneLifetime heroinLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 0.4% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: NoneLifetime cocaineLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 1.2% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableLocal disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: None**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter.**CONSEQUENCES****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** 2018 heroin overdose ED visit/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 3,544/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Peaked in 2017, 493/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 3,544/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 cocaine overdose ED visit/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 780/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Increasing, 628/9,848,060 – CY 2016 to 780/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 other synthetic narcotic overdose ED visit/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 344/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Increasing, 308/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 344/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 heroin overdose death/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 632/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Increasing, 187/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 632/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 cocaine overdose death/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 723/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Increasing, 167/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 723/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available2018 other synthetic narcotic overdose death/populationLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 344/10,383,620Local trends: Select or enter.State trends: Peaked in 2017, 111/9,848,060 – CY 2013 to 1,295/10,383,620 – CY 2018Local disparities: Select or enter.State disparities: Not available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Severity: Select.Overall:select.Stakeholders: Tap to enter.Notes:Tap to enter. | **ACCESS** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Easy or sort of easy to access heroin or cocaineLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 11.7% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PARENTAL DISAPPROVAL OF HEROIN** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Parents think it is wrong or somewhat wrong for child to drink use drugs such as heroinLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 99.4% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **PERCEIVED RISK OF HEROIN OR COCAINE** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Moderate to great perceived risk of using illegal drugs such as heroin or cocaineLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 97.1% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **ENTER ADDITIONAL INTERVENING VARIABLES****Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |

| **FY 2020 Substance Abuse Prevention Assessment and Planning** |
| --- |
| **Provider agency:** Tap to enter. | **Community:** Tap to enter. | **Substance: Substance Misuse** |
| **Step 1: Identify high concern substances** | **Step 2: Identify high priority intervening variables** | **Step 3: Identify changeable high priority intervening variables** |
| **Problem**  |  | **Problem rank** | **Intervening variable** | **Intervening variable rank** | **Changeability** | **Changeability rank** | **Potential Strategies** |
|  |  | **PARENTAL COMMUNICATION & MONITORING** **Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Parents talked with children about problems of tobacco, alcohol, and drug use sometimes, often, or a lotLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 78.8% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableParents likely or very likely to know if child does not come home on timeLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 87.6 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableParents likely or very likely to know where child is and who they are with Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 91.1 Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availableFamily has clear rules about alcohol and drug use (agree or strongly agree)Local prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 91.8%Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |
| **SOCIAL MEDIA****Select or enter data source.** **Select or enter population.** Seen pictures of teens drinking/doing drugs on social mediaLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 77.9%Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availablePictures of teens drinking/doing drugs on social medial make it look like they are having a good timeLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 44.3% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availablePictures of teens drinking/doing drugs on social medial make it look like they are having a good timeLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 44.3% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availablePictures of teens drinking/doing drugs on social medial make it look like they are happyLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 22.7% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availablePictures of teens drinking/doing drugs on social medial make it look like they are sickLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 14.7% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availablePictures of teens drinking/doing drugs on social medial make it look like they are upsetLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 5.4% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availablePictures of teens drinking/doing drugs on social medial make it look like they are scaredLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 2.2% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None availablePictures of teens drinking/doing drugs on social medial make me want to drink or use drugsLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.State prevalence: 8.8% Local trends: Select or enter. State trends: None available**Enter data source for additional measure(s).** **Enter population for additional measure(s).** Enter MeasureLocal prevalence: Tap to enter.Local trends: Select or enter. Local disparities: Select or enter. | Prevalence: Select.Trends: Select. Comparisons: Select. Impact on problem:Select. Association with other problems: Select. Overall:Select. Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Human capacity: Tap to enter.Organizational capacity: Tap to enter.Fiscal capacity: Tap to enter.Community readiness: Tap to enter. |  Human: Select.Organizational: Select. Fiscal:Readiness:Select.Overall: Select.Stakeholders:Tap to enter.Notes: Tap to enter. | Tap to enter. |